

This article was downloaded by:

On: 25 January 2011

Access details: Access Details: Free Access

Publisher Taylor & Francis

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



## Separation Science and Technology

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713708471>

### A Rapid Method for the Extraction and Separation of Uranium from Thorium and Other Accompanying Elements Using Octadecyl Silica Membrane Disks Modified by Tri-n-octyl Phosphine Oxide

Mojtaba Shamsipur<sup>a</sup>; Yadollah Yamini<sup>b</sup>; Parviz Ashtari<sup>c</sup>; Ali Reza Khanchi<sup>c</sup>; Mohammad Ghannadi-Marageh<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, RAZI UNIVERSITY, KERMANSHAH, IRAN <sup>b</sup> DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, TARBIAT MODARRES UNIVERSITY, TEHRAN, IRAN <sup>c</sup> JABER IBN HAYAN RESEARCH LABORATORIES, ATOMIC ENERGY ORGANIZATION OF IRAN, TEHRAN, IRAN

Online publication date: 16 May 2000

**To cite this Article** Shamsipur, Mojtaba , Yamini, Yadollah , Ashtari, Parviz , Khanchi, Ali Reza and Ghannadi-Marageh, Mohammad(2000) 'A Rapid Method for the Extraction and Separation of Uranium from Thorium and Other Accompanying Elements Using Octadecyl Silica Membrane Disks Modified by Tri-n-octyl Phosphine Oxide', Separation Science and Technology, 35: 7, 1011 – 1019

**To link to this Article:** DOI: 10.1081/SS-100100207

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1081/SS-100100207>

## PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

## **A Rapid Method for the Extraction and Separation of Uranium from Thorium and Other Accompanying Elements Using Octadecyl Silica Membrane Disks Modified by Tri-*n*-octyl Phosphine Oxide**

---

**MOJTABA SHAMSIPUR\***

DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY  
RAZI UNIVERSITY  
KERMANSHAH, IRAN

**YADOLLAH YAMINI**

DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY  
TARBIAT MODARRES UNIVERSITY  
TEHRAN, IRAN

**PARVIZ ASHTARI, ALI REZA KHANCHI, and  
MOHAMMAD GHANNADI-MARAGEH**

JABER IBN HAYAN RESEARCH LABORATORIES  
ATOMIC ENERGY ORGANIZATION OF IRAN  
TEHRAN, IRAN

### **ABSTRACT**

A simple method for rapid and selective separation of uranyl ion from  $\text{Th}^{4+}$  and other accompanying elements by using octadecyl silica membrane disks modified with tri-*n*-octyl phosphine oxide (TOPO) in the presence of 0.5 M  $\text{HNO}_3$  is presented. The influences of type and concentration of acid, nature of ligands, flow rates, and nature of stripping agents have been investigated. Maximum capacity of the membrane disks modified by 50 mg TOPO was found to be 938  $\mu\text{g}$  of uranium. The method was applied to the recovery of uranyl ion from two different soil samples.

**Key Words.** Uranium separation; SPE; Octadecyl silica disks; TOPO; Spectrophotometric determination

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed.

## INTRODUCTION

Uranium, an element with the highest atomic number of any naturally occurring element, is found in granites, metamorphic rocks, lignite, monazite sands, and phosphate deposits as well as minerals such as uraninite, carnotite, and pitchblende. The concentration in phosphate rocks can be as high as 0.12 mg/g (1). It is only seldom that a given method for the determination of uranium can be applied without the preliminary separation of interfering elements.

Coprecipitation with cerous hydroxide, barium sulfate, iron hydroxide, and aluminum hydroxide (2–6); liquid–liquid extraction (LLE) with tri-*n*-octyl phosphine oxide (TOPO) (7–10], tributyl phosphate (11–13), crown ethers (14, 15) and other ligands (16–19); ion-exchange separation (20–27); solid phase extraction (SPE) (28, 29); and supercritical fluid extraction (30) are among the most suitable reported methods for the separation of small amounts of uranium. However, most of these methods suffer from such problems as the need for large amounts of ligands, reagents, and high purity solvents; disposal of organic solvents; and long procedural time.

Metal chelating resins (adsorbed or chemically bonded chelates) have recently been found to be of great utility for the preconcentration and separation of trace metals from different matrices (31–42). The use of supports loaded with chelating agents is particularly convenient because such resins are easy to prepare although their stabilities and collection abilities may be inferior to those of chelating resins containing various functionalized groups (40). In a previous publication (41) we showed that separation of barium from alkali and alkaline earth metal ions is feasible with octadecyl silica membrane disks modified with crown ethers. The aim of this work is the development of a rapid and efficient method for the extraction and separation of uranium from associated elements using octadecyl silica membrane disks modified with TOPO. The influences of experimental parameters such as type of adsorbed ligands, concentration of different acids, type and volume of suitable eluents, and eluent flow rates on the extraction efficiency of the modified membrane disks were investigated. The method has also been extended to the separation of uranium from a large number of other elements and also from a number of real samples.

## EXPERIMENTAL

### Reagents

Reagent-grade dibenzo-18-crown-6 (DB18C6), tri-*n*-octyl phosphine oxide (TOPO), and arsenazo(III) (all from Merck) were used as received. Analytical-grade picric acid, oxalic acid,  $\text{UO}_2(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{Na}_2\text{MoO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,



$\text{NH}_4\text{HCO}_3$ ,  $\text{NaVO}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ , and nitrate or chloride salts of other cations (all from Merck) were of the highest purity available and were used without any further purification except for vacuum drying. All solvents and acids used were of AnalaR grade from Merck.

A stock solution of uranium (1.0 mg/mL) was prepared by dissolving proper amounts of  $\text{UO}_2(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in 6 M HCl in a 100-mL flask. Dilute solutions containing 100  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$  of U(VI) were prepared by appropriate dilution of the stock solution in doubly distilled deionized water. The standard mineral and sediment samples were obtained from the International Atomic Energy Agency (Australia).

### Apparatus

All absorbance measurements were carried out on a Cary 3 Varian spectrophotometer. A Varian-20 atomic absorption spectrophotometer was used for metal ion measurements under the recommended conditions for each metal ion.

### Sample Extraction

Extractions were performed with 47 mm diameter  $\times$  0.5 mm thickness 3M Empore membrane disks containing octadecyl-bonded silica (8  $\mu\text{m}$  particles, 60  $\text{\AA}$  pore size) from Varian. The disks were used in conjunction with a standard Millipore 47 mm filtration apparatus.

Before extraction, each membrane was washed with 10 mL methanol, 10 mL 1 M HCl, 25 mL deionized water, and 10 mL methanol to remove all contaminants arising from the manufacturing process and environment. After drawing air through the disk for several minutes it was placed inside an oven at 65°C. Then a solution of 50 mg TOPO dissolved in 2 mL methanol was introduced onto the disk surface and allowed to penetrate inside the membrane completely. The solvent was evaporated at 65°C. The membrane disk modified by TOPO was now ready for sample extraction.

The general procedure for the extraction of uranyl ions on the membrane disk was as follows. First, the modified disk was preconditioned by passing a 10-mL portion of a 0.5 M  $\text{HNO}_3$  solution through it. Then 10 mL of the sample solution containing 50  $\mu\text{g}$  U(VI) and 0.5 M nitric acid was passed through the modified disk (flow rate = 20 mL/min). The extracted uranyl ion was then stripped from the disk using 90 mL of 0.5 M solution of  $\text{NH}_4\text{HCO}_3$  (flow rate = 4 mL/min). Fractions were collected and completely evaporated to dryness, and the uranium content was determined by the Arsenazo(III) method (21).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In some preliminary experiments which were carried out to investigate the quantitative extraction of  $\text{UO}_2^{2+}$  ions by the octadecyl silica membrane disks



in the absence and presence of such potential modifying ligands as DB18C6 and TOPO, the following results were obtained. First, the plane membrane disk did not show any tendency to extract uranyl ion from sample solutions. On the other hand, the recovery data obtained by a membrane disk modified by DB18C6 in the presence of 0.01 M picric acid revealed the retention, at most, of about 40% uranium. However, it was found that a membrane disk modified by TOPO is capable of completely retaining  $\text{UO}_2^{2+}$  ions in the sample solutions (the test solutions contained 50  $\mu\text{g}$  uranyl ion in 10 mL 0.5 M  $\text{HNO}_3$ ).

In the next step we studied the extraction of uranyl ions by modified membrane disks from different acid media. Table 1 shows that quantitative extraction of uranyl ion is achieved from solutions containing 0.5–1 M  $\text{HNO}_3$  or 0.5 M HCl. The extraction of uranyl ions from dilute  $\text{HNO}_3$  solutions by alkyl esters of acid phosphoric such as tributyl phosphate (TBP) is reported to be based on the formation of a neutral complex of  $\text{UO}_2(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 2\text{TBP}$  (43). Thus, the possible composition of the adsorbed complex should be  $\text{UO}_2\text{X}_2 \cdot 2\text{TOPO}$  ( $\text{X} = \text{NO}_3^-, \text{Cl}^-$ ). The decreased extraction of uranyl ion from sulfuric acid media may be due to the formation of such anionic complexes as  $\text{UO}_2(\text{SO}_4)_2^{2-}$  and  $\text{UO}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3^{4-}$  with overall formation constants of  $\beta_2 = 1.75 \times 10^4$  and  $\beta_3 = 4.38 \times 10^7$ , respectively (44). Further extraction of uranyl ion was then performed from 0.5 HCl or  $\text{HNO}_3$  solutions.

It is obvious from Table 1 that by increasing the HCl concentration in the solution, the percent recovery of uranyl ion is largely reduced, most probably due to the formation of  $\text{UO}_2\text{Cl}_3^-$  ion (44). Thus, we found that a solution of 6 M HCl is suitable for the successful elution of uranyl ion from the disk. The results showed that 70 mL of 6 M HCl solution is necessary for complete elu-

TABLE 1  
Percent Recovery of 50  $\mu\text{g}$  U(VI) with the Modified Membrane Disk Using Different Concentrations of Various Acids

Acid	Concentration (M)	% Recovery
HCl	0.5	99.1
HCl	1.0	97.2
HCl	6.0	NR <sup>a</sup>
$\text{HNO}_3$	0.5	100.0
$\text{HNO}_3$	1.0	100.0
$\text{HNO}_3$	2.0	97.3
$\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$	0.05	86.9
$\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$	0.2	89.1
$\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$	0.5	93.5

<sup>a</sup> Not retained.



TABLE 2  
Percent Recovery of 50  $\mu\text{g}$  U(VI) from the Modified Membrane Disk Using 60 mL of Varying Concentrations of  $\text{NH}_4\text{HCO}_3$  at Different pH Values

Concentration (M)	pH	% Recovery
0.25	8.35	70.3
0.50	8.25	91.8
0.50	7.00 <sup>a</sup>	85.6
0.50	5.80 <sup>a</sup>	80.4
1.00	8.02 <sup>a</sup>	79.4
2.00	7.77 <sup>a</sup>	28.3

<sup>a</sup> pH adjustments were made by addition of proper amounts of concentrated HCl.

tion of uranyl ion from the disk. However, because of the hazardous effects of HCl, we decided to examine different concentrations of ammonium bicarbonate as the eluent for adsorbed uranyl ions.

Table 2 shows that a 0.5 M  $\text{NH}_4\text{HCO}_3$  solution at a pH 8.25 is suitable for the elution of uranyl ions from the disk. It should be noted that the carbonate ion forms a very stable anionic complex with uranyl ion,  $\text{UO}_2(\text{CO}_3)_3^{4-}$ , with an overall formation constant of  $\beta_3 = 2 \times 10^{18}$  (44), resulting in the successful elution of the adsorbed uranyl ion from the disk. The quantitative stripping of the retained uranyl ion by the modified membrane disk was then studied by using various volumes of 0.5 M  $\text{NH}_4\text{HCO}_3$ . The results are summarized in Table 3. As seen, the elution of uranyl ion from the membrane disk is quantitative with 90 mL of 0.5 M  $\text{NH}_4\text{HCO}_3$  solution.

The influence of flow rates of the sample and stripping solutions from the membrane disk on the retention and recovery of uranyl ion was investigated. It was found that in the 1–50 mL/min range the retention of uranyl ion by the membrane disk is not significantly affected by the sample solution flow rate. On the other hand, quantitative stripping of the uranyl ion from the disk was achieved in a flow rate range of 0.5–4 mL/min. Thus, a flow rate of 2 mL/min

TABLE 3  
Percent Recovery of 50  $\mu\text{g}$  U(VI) from the Modified Membrane Disk Using Varying Volumes of 0.5 M Solution of  $\text{NH}_4\text{HCO}_3$  at pH 8.25

Volume (mL)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90
% Recovery	45	57.6	68.4	79.0	89.7	92.0	94.4	97.3	100.1



was employed in all cases for the elution of uranyl ion from the disk with 90 mL of 0.5 M  $\text{NH}_4\text{HCO}_3$  solutions.

The influence of the amount of TOPO loaded on the membrane disk on the retention of 50  $\mu\text{g}$  U(VI) was studied, and the results are shown in Table 4. As seen, the extraction of uranyl ion is quantitative when 50 mg or more TOPO is used. The maximum capacity of the disk when modified by 50 mg of TOPO was studied by passing 10 mL portions of 0.5 M  $\text{HNO}_3$  solution containing 1000  $\mu\text{g}$  of U(VI) through the disk, followed by washing the disk with 25 mL of a 0.5 M  $\text{HNO}_3$  solution and determination of the retained metal ions spectrophotometrically. The maximum capacity of the disk was found to be  $938 \pm 1 \mu\text{g}$  uranium.

In order to investigate separation of uranyl ion from Th(IV), the extraction of 50  $\mu\text{g}$  of  $\text{Th}^{4+}$  from 10 mL of 0.5 M  $\text{HNO}_3$  solution by a disk modified with 50 mg TOPO was studied. The results showed that Th(IV) ions were retained completely by the membrane disk, but only 35% of the adsorbed Th(IV) was eluted by using 90 mL of 0.5 M  $\text{NH}_4\text{HCO}_3$  solution. The Th(IV) determination was performed with the Arsenazo(III) method (45). Fortunately, the retention of Th(IV) by the modified membrane disk is negligible in the presence of 0.025 M EDTA, and it can be separated from uranyl ion quantitatively.

In order to study the selective separation of uranyl ion from its binary mixtures with diverse metal ions, an aliquot of 0.5 M  $\text{HNO}_3$  solutions (10 mL) containing 50  $\mu\text{g}$  U(VI) and milligram amounts of other cations was taken, and the recommended procedure was followed. The results are summarized in Table 5. The results clearly show that uranyl ions in the binary mixtures are retained almost completely by the membrane disk, even in the presence of up to 100 mg of the diverse ions. Meanwhile, the determination of foreign ion concentrations in the eluent revealed that, with the exception of Mo(VI), the amount of foreign ion eluted was lower than 0.7% of its initial concentration. The concentration of Mo(VI) eluted was 4.6% of its initial concentration.

In order to assess the applicability of the method to real samples, it was applied to the separation and recovery of uranyl ions from two soil samples. A proper amount of finely powdered soil sample (with a uranium content below 50  $\mu\text{g}$ ) was digested and dissolved as described elsewhere (43). After evaporation of acids to dryness, a proper amount of 0.5 M  $\text{HNO}_3$  (8–9 mL) was

TABLE 4  
Percent Recovery of 50  $\mu\text{g}$  U(VI) by the Membrane Disks Modified with Different Amounts of TOPO

TOPO (mg)	0	5	15	25	35	43	50	75	100
% Recovery	0	31.2	53.9	70.6	80.2	89.7	100.0	100.0	100.0



TABLE 5  
Separation of 50  $\mu\text{g}$  U(VI) from Binary Mixtures

Foreign ion	Added as	Amount taken (mg)	% Recovery of U(VI)
$\text{Na}^+$	$\text{NaCl}$	100	98.5
$\text{K}^+$	$\text{KCl}$	100	100.0
$\text{Mg}^{2+}$	$\text{Mg}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$	100	99.1
$\text{Ca}^{2+}$	$\text{CaCl}_2$	100	98.2
$\text{Ni}^{2+}$	$\text{Ni}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$	100	97.4
$\text{Co}^{2+}$	$\text{Co}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$	100	99.4
$\text{Fe}^{3+}$	$\text{Fe}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$	100	95.6
$\text{Cd}^{2+}$	$\text{Cd}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$	100	100.2
$\text{Cr}^{3+}$	$\text{Cr}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$	100	102.1
Zr(VI)	$\text{ZrO}_2\text{Cl}_2 \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$	10	96.0
$\text{Zn}^{2+}$	$\text{ZnCl}_2$	100	96.1
$\text{Pb}^{2+}$	$\text{Pb}(\text{NO}_3)_2$	100	103.8
$\text{Cu}^{2+}$	$\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$	100	100.9
$\text{Mn}^{2+}$	$\text{MnCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$	100	100.0
$\text{Hg}^{2+}$	$\text{HgCl}_2$	30	98.6
V(V)	$\text{NaVO}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$	10	96.3
$\text{Al}^{3+}$	$\text{Al}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$	100	98.2
Mo(VI)	$\text{Na}_2\text{MoO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$	100	99.0
$\text{Ce}^{3+}$	$\text{CeCl}_3 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$	6	100.0
$\text{Ti}^{3+}$	1000 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ solution	5	101.9
$\text{Th}^{4+}$ <sup>a</sup>	1000 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ solution	1	96.5

<sup>a</sup> In the presence of 0.02 M EDTA the tolerance limit was increased to 100 mg of  $\text{Th}^{4+}$ .

TABLE 6  
Determination of Uranium in Real Samples

Sample	Certified amount	Amount found
S-7	%0.527 $\text{U}_3\text{O}_8$	%0.57 (3.1) <sup>a</sup> $\text{U}_3\text{O}_8$
IAEA-312 <sup>b</sup>	16.5 $\mu\text{g}$ U/g	16.9 (2.4) $\mu\text{g}$ U/g

<sup>a</sup> Values in parentheses are RSDs based on three replicate analyses.

<sup>b</sup> The certified value of  $\text{Th}^{4+}$  in this sample is 91.4  $\mu\text{g}/\text{g}$ .

added to dissolve the precipitate and the resulting solution was diluted to the mark in a 10-mL volumetric flask, and the recommended procedure was followed. The results of triplicate analyses (Table 6) showed that uranium recovery from soil samples S-7 and IAEA-312 was 108 and 102%, respectively.



## CONCLUSION

The proposed method has the following advantages. It can selectively separate uranyl ion from foreign cations, including  $\text{Th}^{4+}$ , even when they are present at high concentrations. The method can be applied to the separation of uranium at both trace levels and at higher concentrations. It is a simple, highly selective, and reproducible method for the separation of uranyl ion. The reproducibility of the procedure is within  $\pm 3\%$ .

## REFERENCES

1. C. R. Cothorn and W. L. Lappenbusch, *Health Phys.*, **45**, 89 (1983).
2. R. L. Williams and G. E. Grothaus, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res.*, **223**, 200 (1984).
3. R. F. Andersan and A. P. Fleer, *Anal. Chem.*, **54**, 1142–1147 (1982).
4. C. W. Sill, *Ibid.*, **52**, 1452 (1980).
5. I. I. Shuktomova and I. G. Lochan, *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, **129**, 245 (1989).
6. N. P. Singh and M. E. Wrenn, *Talanta*, **30**, 271 (1983).
7. P. Pakalns, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, **69**, 211 (1974).
8. R. L. Deutscher and A. W. Mann, *Analyst*, **102**, 929 (1977).
9. C. A. Horton and J. C. White, *Anal. Chem.*, **42**, 1271 (1958).
10. T. M. Florence and Y. J. Farrar, *Ibid.*, **42**, 1271 (1970).
11. M. Gascoyne and J. P. A. Larocque, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res.*, **223**, 250 (1984).
12. A. R. Gberle and M. W. Lerner, *Anal. Chem.*, **29**, 1134 (1957).
13. E. Hesford and H. A. C. McKary, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, **13**, 165 (1960).
14. S. K. Mundra, S. A. Pai, and M. S. Subramanian, *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, **116**, 203 (1987).
15. N. V. Deorkar and S. M. Khopkar, *Ibid.*, **130**, 433 (1989).
16. F. B. Baroncelli, G. C. Scibona, and M. Ziffereo, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, **24**, 547 (1962).
17. M. H. Pournaghi-Azar and R. Zargharian, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, **328**, 33 (1996).
18. M. Cospito and L. Rigali, *Ibid.*, **57**, 107 (1971).
19. Y. S. Wang, G. X. Sun, D. F. Xie, B. R. Bao, and W. G. Cao, *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, **214**, 67 (1996).
20. M. B. Hafez and N. Hafez, *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. Lett.*, **116**, 203 (1992).
21. J. Korkisch and L. Godl, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, **71**, 113 (1974).
22. B. D. Stewart, *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. Lett.*, **137**, 213 (1989).
23. J. Korkisch and I. Steffan, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, **77**, 312 (1975).
24. N. Paunescu, *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. Lett.*, **104**, 209 (1986).
25. J. Korkisch and A. Sorio, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, **79**, 207 (1975).
26. J. H. Aldstadt, J. M. Kuo, L. L. Smith, and M. D. Erickson, *Ibid.*, **319**, 135 (1996).
27. I. I. Shuktomova, A. I. Taskaev, and N. A. Titaeva, *Radiokhimiya*, **25**, 547 (1983).
28. M. Aziz, S. H. G. Beheir, and K. Shakir, *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, **172**, 319 (1993).
29. D. Dojozan, M. H. Pournaghi-Azar, and J. Toutounchi-Azar, *Talanta*, **46**, 123 (1998).
30. K. G. Fiuerton, L. Chen, and R. Jaffe, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, **304**, 203 (1995).
31. D. Atanasova, V. Stefanova, and E. Russeva, *Talanta*, **45**, 857–864 (1998).
32. O. Abollino, M. Aceto, M. C. Bruzzoniti, E. Mentasti, and M. Sarzanini, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, **375**, 293 (1998).
33. O. Zaporozhets, O. Gawer, and V. Sukhan, *Talanta*, **46**, 1387 (1998).
34. Y. A. Zoloto, I. M. Maksimova, E. I. Morosanova, and A. A. Velikorodny, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, **303**, 378 (1995).



35. S. Ichinoki and M. Yamazaki, *J. Chromatogr. Sci.*, **29**, 184 (1991).
36. B. S. Grag, J. S. Bist, R. K. Sharma, and N. Bhojak, *Talanta*, **43**, 2093 (1996).
37. J. G. Hou, X. Z. Du, S. Zhno, J. W. Kang, and J. Z. Gao, *Anal. Lett.*, **28**, 555 (1995).
38. R. Lima, K. C. Leandro, and R. E. Santelli, *Talanta*, **43**, 977 (1996).
39. P. Lessi, N. L. Diasfilho, J. Moreira, and J. T. S. Campos, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, **327**, 183 (1996).
40. M. S. Carvalho, I. C. S. Fraga, K. C. M. Neto, and E. Q. S. Filho, *Talanta*, **43**, 1675 (1996).
41. Y. Yamini, N. Alizadeh, and M. Shamsipur, *Sep. Sci. Technol.*, **32**, 2077 (1997).
42. Y. Yamini, N. Alizadeh, and M. Shamsipur, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, **355**, 69 (1997).
43. P. N. Palei, *Analyticheskaya Khimiya Urania*, Izadatel'stvo Akademii Nauk SSSR, Moscow, 1962.
44. J. J. Katz, G. T. Seaborg, and L. R. Morss, *The Chemistry of the Actinide Elements*, Vol. 1, Chapman and Hall, New York, NY, 1986.
45. Z. Marczenko, *Separation and Spectrophotometric Determination of Elements*, Ellis Harwood, London, 1986.

Received by editor August 5, 1999

Revision received October 1999



## **Request Permission or Order Reprints Instantly!**

Interested in copying and sharing this article? In most cases, U.S. Copyright Law requires that you get permission from the article's rightsholder before using copyrighted content.

All information and materials found in this article, including but not limited to text, trademarks, patents, logos, graphics and images (the "Materials"), are the copyrighted works and other forms of intellectual property of Marcel Dekker, Inc., or its licensors. All rights not expressly granted are reserved.

Get permission to lawfully reproduce and distribute the Materials or order reprints quickly and painlessly. Simply click on the "Request Permission/Reprints Here" link below and follow the instructions. Visit the [U.S. Copyright Office](#) for information on Fair Use limitations of U.S. copyright law. Please refer to The Association of American Publishers' (AAP) website for guidelines on [Fair Use in the Classroom](#).

The Materials are for your personal use only and cannot be reformatted, reposted, resold or distributed by electronic means or otherwise without permission from Marcel Dekker, Inc. Marcel Dekker, Inc. grants you the limited right to display the Materials only on your personal computer or personal wireless device, and to copy and download single copies of such Materials provided that any copyright, trademark or other notice appearing on such Materials is also retained by, displayed, copied or downloaded as part of the Materials and is not removed or obscured, and provided you do not edit, modify, alter or enhance the Materials. Please refer to our [Website User Agreement](#) for more details.

**Order now!**

Reprints of this article can also be ordered at  
<http://www.dekker.com/servlet/product/DOI/101081SS100100207>